1 ANN BIRMINGHAM SCHEEL Acting United States Attorney District of Arizona RACHEL C. HERNANDEZ Assistant U.S. Attorney 3 Arizona State Bar No. 016543 Two Renaissance Square 4 40 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1200 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4408 Telephone (602) 514-7500 5 Email: rachel.hernandez@usdoj.gov 6 7 8 United States of America, 9 Plaintiff, 10 v. 11 Jarrett B. Maupin, II, 12 13 14 15 16 17 I. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Defendant.

CR 09-0052-PHX-DGC

**GOVERNMENT'S DISPOSITION** RECOMMENDATION **MEMORANDUM** 

The United States, by and through undersigned counsel, respectfully requests that this Court adopt the recommendation of the United States Probation Office and sentence defendant to six months in custody and 36 months of Supervised Release.

- Defendant's Disposition Memorandum is Inaccurate.
- A. Defendant did not disclose his felony conviction on his application for employment with the Microsoft Store. On December 3, 2009, United States Probation Officer ("USPO") Michael Watts, spoke to Robert Losh of Global Security for Microsoft. Mr. Losh informed USPO Watts that defendant did not disclose this information.
- B. Defendant claims that USPO Watts assailed him for not making "any" restitution payments. (Defendant's Disposition Memorandum, Page 3, Line 8.) In fact, the Disposition Memorandum filed by USPO Watts, fairly characterizes the payments made as "minimal", a fact supported by the restitution payment ledger attached by USPO Watts.
- C. Defendant's claims that his felony conviction was well known by his employer, First Congregational Church, is belied by the fact that his immediate supervisor at the church told USPO Watts that he was not aware of defendant's conviction.

D. Defendant's wife was illegally present in the United States at the time of defendant's original imposition of sentence in this case. USPO Watts became aware of that fact through confirmation with Immigration and Customs Enforcement ("ICE") employees after his suspicions were aroused by defendant's statement that he was unaware of his wife's status in the United States.

As it is a violation of the terms of probation to associate with individuals who are in violation of the law, USPO Watts informed defendant of such. USPO Watts warned defendant about the ramifications of continuing to reside with his wife and attempted to give him options to remedy the situation—from obtaining legal status to disassociating with his wife—to no avail. When defendant continued to reside with his wife who was illegally present in the United States, USPO Watts gave defendant a written directive pursuant to the policy of his office. Defendant refused to sign the directive. Shortly thereafter, USPO Watts was made aware from ICE that defendant's wife had finally obtained legal status.

## II. <u>Sentencing Recommendation</u>

Defendant was convicted of the crime of False Statements to a Federal Agency after he lied to Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI") Agents on multiple occasions. He lied to agents before a polygraph test, during the polygraph test and after the failed test. As part of his plea agreement, defendant agreed to make restitution to victims who had lost money as a result of deceptions and fraud perpetrated by defendant as set forth in the Presentence Report.

Defendant's performance on probation, and his explanation of his performance on probation, are nothing more than a continuation of his predilection for lying and half-truths. Defendant has not made an attempt to fully comply with the terms of probation. Rather, he has given a bare minimum effort with the intention of satisfying his probation officer so he can be left alone to perpetrate additional frauds.

Indicative of this, is the fact that during his time on probation, defendant had to forego a portion of his salary from an employer who alleged that defendant stole money. Additionally, as USPO Watts set forth in both the Revocation Petition and the Disposition Memorandum, defendant appears to have been grooming yet another victim who is anticipating a large

## Case 2:09-cr-00052-DGC Document 39 Filed 11/10/11 Page 3 of 3

monetary payment. While that issue is now disputed by defendant and the putative victim (Ms. 1 2 Fernandez), it was clear to USPO Watts and his supervisor, that Maupin was less than 3 forthcoming about his relationship with Ms. Fernandez, and less than forthcoming with her about his past conviction. Fortunately, the instant case may have prevented victimization of Ms. 4 5 Fernandez. Nevertheless, defendant's actions in this incident are not in compliance with the spirit of the terms of probation and are not the actions of an individual seeking to move forward 6 7 and live a law-abiding life. 8 For these reasons, and the others stated in the Disposition Report, it is urged that the 9 Court follow the recommendations as set forth in the Disposition Report and sentence defendant 10 to six months in custody and 36 months of supervised release. Respectfully submitted this 10th day of November, 2011 11 12 ANN BIRMINGHAM SCHEEL Acting United States Attorney 13 District of Arizona 14 s/Rachel C. Hernandez 15 RACHEL C. HERNANDEZ 16 Assistant U.S. Attorney 17 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 18 I hereby certify that on this date, I electronically transmitted this document to the Clerk's 19 Office via the CM/ECF system for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the 20 following CM/ECF registrants: 21 David L. Lockhart Counsel for Defendant 22 I certify on that on this date, I transmitted this document via email to: 23 Michael Watts 24 **US Probation Office** 25 26 27 28